arXiv:1403.4796v1 [math.AC] 19 Mar 2014

Syzygies of the Veronese modules

Ornella Greco, Ivan Martino

Abstract

We study the minimal free resolution of the Veronese modules, $S_{n,d,k} = \oplus_{i\geq 0} S_{k+id}$, where $S = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, by giving a formula for the Betti numbers in terms of the reduced homology of some skeleton of a simplicial complex. We prove that $S_{n,d,k}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $k < d$, and that its minimal resolution is pure and has some linearity features when $k > d(n-1)-n$. We also conjecture that the resolution of $S_{2,d,k}$ is always pure.

We also show that $H(S_{n,d,k}; z) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}}\left[\frac{z^{k+n-1}}{1-z^d}\right]$. As an application, we calculate the complete Betti diagrams of the Veronese rings $\mathbf{k}[x,y,z]^{(d)}$, for $d = 4, 5$, and $\mathbf{k}[x,y,z,u]^{(3)}$.

Given a graded ring $S = \oplus_{i\geq 0} S_i$, the Veronese subring $S^{(d)}$ is defined as $\oplus_{i\geq 0} S_{id}$ and the *Veronese modules* $S_{n,d,k}$, which are modules over the Veronese subring, are $\oplus_{i\geq 0} S_{k+id}$.
In this paper, we set $S = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where $\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and we deal with the syzygies of the *Veronese modules*.

There has been a lot of effort already to find the graded Betti number of the Veronese ring $S^{(d)}$. The problem can be really hard, namely, in [6], Ein and Lazarsfeld showed that for $d \gg 0$ the graded Betti numbers $\beta_{i,j}(S^{(d)}) \neq 0$ for many j if i is large: in particular, they proved that, for $d \gg 0$ there exist l_1, l_2 such that $\beta_{p,p+q} \neq 0$ for all p in the range $l_1 d^{q-1} \leq p \leq \binom{d+n}{n} - l_2 d^{n-q}$.

It is known (see references in [11]) that $\beta_i = \beta_{i,(i+1)d}$, for all $i > 0$, in the cases $n = 2$ or $(d, n) = (2, 3)$. Instead, when $d = 2$ and $n > 3$, we have that the equality holds for $i \leq 5$. In addition, for $d = 2$, all Betti numbers have been determined. In case $n, d \geq 3$, Ottaviani and Paoletti (in [11]) also proved that $\beta_i \neq \beta_{i,(i+1)d}$, for all $i > 3d - 3$, and conjectured that the equality holds for $i \leq 3d - 3$. They proved their conjecture for $n = 3$ and $(d, n) = (3, 4)$. Bruns, Conca and Römer, in [3], provided another proof for $n = 3$.

Moreover, in [8], Goto and Watanabe proved that the Veronese ring $S^{(d)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and that its canonical module is given by the Veronese module $S_{n,d,d-n}$.

In order to calculate the Betti numbers of semigroup rings, Bruns and Herzog, in [5], defined the *squarefree divisor complex*, $\Delta_{\mathbf{c}}$ (see Section 1). In particular, they gave a formula for $\beta_{i,j}(S^{(d)})$ in terms of the dimension of the reduced homology of $\Delta_{\mathbf{c}}$.
Recently, in [12], Paul gave another description of the graded Betti numbers of semigroup rings (actually he worked in a more general environment) in terms of the reduced homology of a simplicial complex, $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$, called *pile simplicial complex*.

In this paper, we concentrate on the syzygies of $S_{n,d,k}$. The main result generalizes Paul's and Bruns-Herzog's formulas to the Veronese modules.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $S = \oplus_{i\geq 0} S_i$ and $S_{n,d,k} = \oplus_{i\geq 0} S_{k+id}$. If $\mathbf{c}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $|\mathbf{c}| = k + jd$, then*

$$\beta_{i,\mathbf{c}}(S_{n,d,k}) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{i-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle j-1\rangle}, \mathbf{k}),$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle j-1\rangle}$ is the $(j-1)$-skeleton of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$. Moreover, $\beta_{i,\mathbf{c}}(S_{n,d,k}) = 0$ when $|\mathbf{c}| \neq k + jd$, for all j.

Using this tool, in Theorem 3.5, we show that the Betti numbers of $S_{n,d,k}$ can be non-zero only in degrees $k + id$ for $i < n$; we also characterize when these modules are Cohen-Macaulay.

Theorem 3.5. *The Veronese module $S_{n,d,k}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $k < d$. Moreover if $S_{n,d,k}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay, then it has maximal projective dimension, that is $\binom{d+n-1}{d} - 1$.*

Later, in Theorem 3.8, we prove that if $k > d(n-1) - n$, then the resolution of the Veronese module $S_{n,d,k}$ is pure (and actually $\beta_i = \beta_{i,k+id}$).

We also find a general way to compute the rational form of the Hilbert series of the Veronese modules. Indeed we prove that:

Theorem 2.1. $\frac{d}{dz} H(S_{n,d,k}; z) = nH(S_{n+1,d,k-1}; z)$.

Hence,

$$H(S_{n,d,k}; z) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} \left[\frac{z^{k+n-1}}{1-z^d} \right].$$

This allows us to write a closed formula for $H(S_{n,d,k}; z)$ for $n \leq 3$ (see equations (3) and (4)) and, by differentiating, one could get the Hilbert series for larger n.

Using the knowledge of the Hilbert series and the pureness property, we are able to describe the Betti diagram for $S_{2,d,k}$, for $k \geq d-1$ and one may generalize the same result for $k > d(n-1) - n$ (see Section 4). Moreover, in Section 4 we conjecture the structure of the Betti table for $S_{2,d,k}$, for $k < d$.

Conjecture 4.1. *If $k < d$, the Veronese module $S_{2,d,k}$ has pure resolution and the Betti table is:*

	0	1	...	k	$k+1$	...	$d-1$
k	$\beta_{0,k}$	$\beta_{1,k+d}$	...	$\beta_{k,k+kd}$	0	...	0
$k+1$	0	0	...	0	$\beta_{k+1,k+(k+2)d}$	...	$\beta_{d-1,k+(d+1)d}$

In addition, $\beta_i(S_{2,d,k}) = \beta_{i,k+id}(S_{2,d,k}) = |i-(k+1)|\binom{d}{i}$.

We prove this conjecture for $k = 0, 1, d-2, d-1$ in Theorem 4.2.

Finally, for $k > 0$, we prove the linearity of the first step of the minimal resolution of $S_{n,d,k}$ (see Corollary 3.17).

The first section provides a summary of results about the Veronese rings and the definition of Veronese modules. In the second section we concentrate on their Hilbert series. Later, in Section 3, we prove our theoretical result on the Betti numbers of the Veronese modules, we characterize the cases in which they are Cohen-Macaulay, and we give a sufficient condition for the linearity of their minimal graded free resolution. In Section 4, we deal with the case $n = 2$. Finally, in Section 5, we calculate the Betti tables of $S_{3,4,0}$, $S_{3,5,0}$ and $S_{4,3,0}$.

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definition of Veronese subring and Veronese modules and we give a short summary of some of the results known in literature that relate these with the *squarefree divisor complex*, given by Bruns and Herzog in [5], and with the *pile simplicial complex*, given by Paul in [12], specialized to our settings.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set $\{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n |\ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = d\}$. The* Veronese subring *of S is the algebra $S^{(d)} = \mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} |\ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}]$.*

A presentation of $S^{(d)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbf{k}[y_1, \ldots, y_N] &\to \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \\ y_i &\mapsto \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i} \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{a}_i$ being the i-th element of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the lexicographic order and $N = \binom{d+n-1}{d}$ being the cardinality of $\mathcal{A}$. Thus, $S^{(d)} \cong \frac{\mathbf{k}[y_1,\ldots,y_N]}{\ker\phi}$.

Let us consider the affine numerical semigroup $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ generated by the set $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 1.2. *Given an element $h \in H$, we define the* squarefree divisor complex *to be the simplicial complex*

$$\Delta_h = \left\{ \{\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \ldots \mathbf{a}_{i_k}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A} |\ \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1}+\cdots+\mathbf{a}_{i_k}} \text{ divides } \mathbf{x}^h \right\}.$$

The following proposition was proved by Bruns and Herzog in a more general setting, here we are only stating the version for the Veronese subrings.

Theorem 1.3 (Proposition 1.1 in [5])**.** *Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in H$, then*

$$\beta_{i,h}(S^{(d)}) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{i-1}(\Delta_h(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{k}).$$

Let us define the partial ordering in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ as $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b}$ if and only if $\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Definition 1.4. *The* pile simplicial complex *of $\mathcal{A}$ is*

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}} = \{F \subseteq \mathcal{A} |\ \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in F} \mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{c}\}.$$

This simplicial complex is equal to the squarefree divisor complex, when $\mathbf{c}$ belongs to the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{A}$.

Paul first proved a duality formula (see Theorem 1 in [12]), namely:

$$\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{i-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{k}) \cong \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-n-i-1}(\Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}}(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{k})^{\vee}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{t} = \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{a}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{1}$. Then he applied the isomorphism above to obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 7 in [12])**.** *Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then*

$$\beta_{i,\mathbf{c}}(S^{(d)}) = \dim \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-n-i-1}(\Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}}(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{k}).$$

From now on, given a vector $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$, $|\mathbf{c}| = c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_n$ denotes the total degree of $\mathbf{c}$.

Definition 1.6. *Let $n, d, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the* Veronese modules*, $S_{n,d,k}$, are defined as $S_{n,d,k} = \oplus_{i \geq 0} S_{k+id}$.*

By the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula (see [4, 10]), we have that

$$\mathrm{pdim}(S_{n,d,k}) + \mathrm{depth}(S_{n,d,k}) = \mathrm{depth}(\mathbf{k}[y_1, \ldots, y_N])$$

and $\mathrm{depth}(\mathbf{k}[y_1, \ldots, y_N]) = \dim(\mathbf{k}[y_1, \ldots, y_N]) = \mathrm{emb}(S^{(d)})$. Moreover y_1 is always a non zero-divisor with respect to $S_{n,d,k}$ and so

$$1 \leq \mathrm{depth}(S_{n,d,k}) \leq \dim(S_{n,d,k}) = n$$

and then

$$N - n \leq \mathrm{pdim}(S_{n,d,k}) \leq N - 1.$$

In particular $S_{n,d,k}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it has depth n, i.e. projective dimension $N - n$.

In the following sections, we are going to state that some resolutions are linear even if they are not according to the standard definition (see [13]).

Definition 1.7. *Let R be a polynomial ring, I a graded ideal in R, and let $T = R/I$. Consider the minimal free resolution of T by free R-modules:*

$$0 \to \oplus_{i=1}^{\beta_p} R(-d_{pi}) \to \cdots \to \oplus_{i=1}^{\beta_1} R(-d_{1i}) \to R \to T \to 0,$$

the ideal I has a pure *resolution if there are $d_1, \ldots, d_p$, with $d_i < d_{i+1}$, such that $d_{i1} = d_1, \ldots, d_{ip} = d_p$ for all i.*

We recall the definition of linearity of the resolution of a module, as given by Eisenbud and Goto in [7].

Definition 1.8. *Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module. The module M has p-*linear resolution*, over the polynomial ring S, if its minimal free graded resolution has the form:*

$$\cdots \to S(-p-i)^{\beta_i} \to \cdots \to S(-p-1)^{\beta_1} \to S(-p)^{\beta_0} \to M \to 0.$$

Definition 1.9. *The module $S_{n,d,k}$ has a* pseudo-linear *resolution if its minimal free resolution is pure and, in addition, $\beta_i = \beta_{i,k+id}$ for all i.*

Remark 1.10. *Throughout the paper, we are letting the generators of $S_{n,d,k}$ have degree k and the generators of $S^{(d)}$ have degree d. If instead we choose 0 for the degree of generators of the module $S_{n,d,k}$ and 1 for the degree of the generators of $S^{(d)}$, we would have that the resolution is pseudo-linear when $\beta_i(S_{n,d,k}) = \beta_{i,i}(S_{n,d,k})$. In the last case, the definition of pseudo-linearity coincides with the definition of linearity, given in [7], in fact $S_{n,d,k}$ would have a 0-linear resolution.*

Finally, let us recall a result by Goto and Watanabe on the canonical module of Veronese ring.

Theorem 1.11 (Corollary 3.1.3 in [8])**.** *The canonical module of $S^{(d)}$ is $S_{n,d,d-n}$.*

2 The Hilbert series of the Veronese modules

Let us fix some notation. We denote by $H(M;z)$ the Hilbert series of the module M. If $R = \mathbf{k}[y_1, \ldots, y_N]$, the Hilbert series of $S_{n,d,k}$ as an R-module is equal to $h(z)/(1-z)^N$, where in the numerator we have the *h-polynomial* $h(z) = \sum_{i,j}(-1)^i \beta_{i,j} z^j$.

In the literature, there has been some work trying to find an explicit formula for the Hilbert series and Hilbert polynomial of the Veronese rings. Recently Brenti and Welker showed (see Theorem 1.1 in [2]) that the Hilbert series of $S_{n,d,0}$ is

$$H(S_{n,d,0};z) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} C(d-1,n,id) z^{id}}{(1-z^d)^n},$$

where $C(d-1,n,id) = \#\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n : |\mathbf{a}| = id, a_j \leq d-1 \text{ for any } j\}$. One could compute the h-polynomial by multiplying the numerator by $(1-z^d)^{N-n}$.

One observes that $h(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} N_i z^{k+id}$, where $\alpha = \lfloor \frac{N(d-1)-k}{d} \rfloor$ and, using Remark 3.2 in [1] one knows that if $k < d$

$$N_i = \sum_{s=0}^{i} (-1)^s \binom{N}{s} \binom{N-1+d(i-s)+k}{N-1}.$$

In one variable it is easy to see that the Hilbert Series of $S_{1,d,k}$ is

$$H(S_{1,d,k};z) = \frac{z^k}{1-z^d}. \tag{2}$$

We want to find a direct formula for the Hilbert series of $S_{n,d,k}$ and we use the following property.

Theorem 2.1. $\frac{d}{dz}H(S_{n,d,k};z) = nH(S_{n+1,d,k-1};z)$.

Proof. By definition of $S_{n,d,k}$ the Hilbert series is

$$H(S_{n,d,k};z) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{k+id+(n-1)}{n-1} z^{k+id}.$$

Let us consider the first derivative

$$\frac{d}{dz}H(S_{n,d,k};z) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{k+id+(n-1)}{n-1} (k+di) z^{k+id-1}$$

and we analyze the coefficient of z^{k+id-1}, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{k+id+(n-1)}{n-1}(k+di) &= \frac{(k+id+n-1)!}{(n-1)!(k+id)!}(k+id) \\ &= n\frac{(k+id+n-1)!}{(n)!(k+id-1)!} \\ &= n\binom{(k-1)+id+n}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

□

As a consequence, we get a direct expression:

Corollary 2.2. *The Hilbert series of the Veronese modules is*

$$H(S_{n,d,k};z) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}}\left[\frac{z^{k+n-1}}{1-z^d}\right].$$

Therefore, by differentiating $\frac{z^{k+n-1}}{1-z^d}$ one finds $H(S_{n,d,k};z)$. For instance using the computer program `Maple`,

```
(1/(n-1)!)*diff(z^(k+n-1)/(1-z^d),z$(n-1));
```

one could compute the Hilbert series up to $n = 95$ in 0.970 second. (We are using a `Dell OptiPlex 790 with Intel Core i7-2600 (3.40GHz, 8MB) and 16 GB memory, Ubuntu 12.04.4 LTS 64-bit.`)

For what concerns this article we write down the general formula for $H(S_{2,d,k};z)$ and $H(S_{3,d,k};z)$:

$$H(S_{2,d,k};z) = \frac{z^k[1+k+(d-k-1)z^d]}{(1-z^d)^2} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H(S_{3,d,k};z) = & \frac{z^k(k+1)(k+2)}{2(1-z^d)^3} \\ & + \frac{z^{k+d}[-2(k+1)(k+2)+d(2k+3+d)]}{2(1-z^d)^3} \\ & + \frac{z^{k+2d}[(k+1)(k+2)-d(2k+3-d)]}{2(1-z^d)^3} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Therefore one could compute the h-polynomials.

Corollary 2.3. *The h-polynomial of $S_{2,d,k}$ is*

$$h(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^{i+1}(i-(k+1))\binom{d}{i} z^{k+id}.$$

Proof. To get the h-polynomial we have to multiply the numerator of the rational form of the Hilbert Series by $(1-z^d)^{d-1}$, because the embedding dimension of $S_{2,d,k}$ is $d+1$:

$$h(z) = [(1+k)z^k + (d-k-1)z^{k+d}] \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i \binom{d-1}{i} z^{id} \right).$$

Hence $h(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} c_i z^{k+id}$ and $c_0 = (1+k)$. If $i > 0$, then the coefficient c_i is given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &= (-1)^i(1+k)\binom{d-1}{i} + (-1)^{i-1}(d-k-1)\binom{d-1}{i-1} \\ &= (-1)^{i-1}\left(-(1+k)\binom{d-1}{i} + d\binom{d-1}{i-1} - (1+k)\binom{d-1}{i-1} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{i-1}\left(d\binom{d-1}{i-1} - (1+k)\binom{d}{i} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{i-1}(i-(k+1))\binom{d}{i}. \end{aligned}$$

If we switch $(-1)^{i-1}$ with $(-1)^{i+1}$ then for $i=0$ one also gets c_0. ∎

Corollary 2.4. *The h-polynomial $h(z)$ of $S_{3,d,k}$ is*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{i-2}}{2} \left(a\binom{N-3}{i} - (b-2a)\binom{N-3}{i-1} + (a-c)\binom{N-3}{i-2} \right) z^{k+id}.$$

where $a = (k+1)(k+2)$, $b = d(2k+3+d)$, $c = d(2k+3-d)$ and $N = \binom{d+2}{2}$.

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Corollary 2.3, we multiply the numerator of the rational form of the Hilbert series by $(1-z^d)^{N-3}$. □

We are going to use this to compute the Betti numbers of the Veronese module in the pure cases.

3 The Betti table of the Veronese modules

This section contains our main theorem, which gives the connection between the syzygies of the Veronese modules and the pile simplicial complex.

Theorem 3.1. *If $\mathbf{c}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $|\mathbf{c}| = k + jd$, then*

$$\beta_{i,\mathbf{c}}(S_{n,d,k}) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{i-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle j-1 \rangle}, \mathbf{k}),$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle j-1 \rangle}$ is the $(j-1)$-skeleton of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$. Moreover, $\beta_{i,\mathbf{c}}(S_{n,d,k}) = 0$ when $|\mathbf{c}| \neq k + jd$, for all j.

Proof. In order to compute the Betti numbers of $M = S_{n,d,k}$, we need to consider the homology of $\mathbb{K}$, the Koszul complex of M. The i-th module in the Koszul complex is denoted with $\mathbb{K}_i$ and it is equal to $\wedge^i M = \oplus M e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_i}$, so its non zero graded components lie in degrees $(k + id, k + (i+1)d, \cdots)$.
Given a multidegree $\mathbf{c}$ such that $|\mathbf{c}| \neq k + jd$, for all j, one notices that $\dim(\mathbb{K}_i)_{\mathbf{c}} = 0$, i.e. $\beta_{i,\mathbf{c}}(M) = 0$, for all i.
Now, let us take $\mathbf{c}$ with $|\mathbf{c}| = k + jd$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we aim to prove that:

$$(\mathbb{K}_i)_{\mathbf{c}} \cong \tilde{C}_{i-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle j-1 \rangle}, \mathbf{k}),$$

where $\tilde{C}_{i-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle j-1 \rangle})$ is the $(i-1)$-th chain group. We notice that $\dim(\mathbb{K}_i)_{\mathbf{c}} \neq 0$ if and only if $i \leq j$; similarly, by the definition of skeleton, $\dim(\tilde{C}_{i-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle j-1 \rangle})) \neq 0$ if and only if $i \leq j$. This implies that $\beta_{i,k+jd}(M) = 0$, for all $i > j$.
Let us consider the case $i \leq j$, and let $0 \neq m e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_i} \in (\mathbb{K}_i)_{\mathbf{c}}$, where

$m = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ with $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n$. This means that $b + j_1 + \cdots + j_i = \mathbf{c}$, which implies that $j_1 + \cdots + j_i \leq \mathbf{c}$, in each component, i.e. $\{j_1, \ldots, j_i\} \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$, moreover $\{j_1, \ldots, j_i\}$ is also a face of the $(j-1)$-skeleton, because we were supposing that $i \leq j$. Therefore, it is enough to consider the isomorphism that sends $me_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_i}$ to the face $\{j_1, \ldots, j_i\}$. Then, it is easy to see that the differentials in the two complexes are defined in the same way. □

Remark 3.2. *When $k < d$, we have that $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_i(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle j-1 \rangle}, \mathbf{k}) \cong \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_i(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{k})$, for all $\mathbf{c}$ with $|\mathbf{c}| = k + jd$.*

Example 3.3. *Consider $S_{2,4,3}$. Since $k = 3 < d = 4$, there is no need to use the skeleton. Let us compute $\beta_{0,3} = \sum_{|\mathbf{c}|=3} \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{k})$: since $3 < d = 4$ all the simplicial complexes $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}} = \{\emptyset\}$ for $\mathbf{c} = (i, k-i)$, for $i = 0, \ldots, 3$. This implies that $\beta_{0,3} = 4$. Let us compute $\beta_{1,3+4}$. Again using Theorem 3.1, we need to calculate the 0-homology of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$ where $|\mathbf{c}| = 7$. Since $7 < 2d = 8$, each of these simplicial complexes is constituted by a certain number of vertices. Namely:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_{(7,0)} = \{(4,0)\},\ \Gamma_{(0,7)} = \{(0,4)\},\\
&\Gamma_{(6,1)} = \{(4,0),(3,1)\},\ \Gamma_{(1,6)} = \{(0,4),(1,3)\},\\
&\Gamma_{(5,2)} = \{(4,0),(3,1),(2,2)\},\ \Gamma_{(2,5)} = \{(0,4),(1,3),(2,2)\},\\
&\Gamma_{(4,3)} = \{(4,0),(3,1),(2,2),(1,3)\},\ \Gamma_{(3,4)} = \{(0,4),(1,3),(2,2),(3,1)\},
\end{aligned}$$

hence $\beta_{1,7} = 2(1+2+3) = 12$.

Example 3.4. *We are going to show that $\beta_{2,13}(S_{2,4,5}) \neq 0$. Here, $k = 5 > d = 4$ and we show that $\beta_{2,\mathbf{5},\mathbf{8}}(S_{2,4,5}) = 3$ by computing $\Gamma_{(5,8)}^{\langle 1 \rangle}$. In Figure 1 we show $\Gamma_{(5,8)}$ and its 1-skeleton.*



Figure 1: The pile simplicial complexes $\Gamma_{(5,8)}$ and $\Gamma_{(5,8)}^{\langle 1 \rangle}$.

As we remarked in the previous proof the Betti number $\beta_{i,\mathbf{c}} = 0$ if $|\mathbf{c}| \neq k + jd$ for all j. So, in the rest of the paper, we will consider the following more *compact* version of the Betti table.

	0	...	$(N-n)$	$(N-n+1)$	...	$(N-1)$
k	$\beta_{0,k}$	...	$\beta_{N-n,k+(N-n)d}$	$\beta_{N-n+1,k+(N-n+1)d}$	...	$\beta_{N-1,k+(N-1)d}$
$k+1$	$\beta_{0,k+d}$	...	$\beta_{N-n,k+(N-n+1)d}$	$\beta_{N-n+1,k+(N-n+1+1)d}$	...	$\beta_{N-1,k+Nd}$
$\vdots$						
$k+i$	$\beta_{0,k+id}$	...	$\beta_{N-n,k+(N-n+i)d}$	$\beta_{N-n+1,k+(N-n+1+i)d}$	...	$\beta_{N-1,k+(N-1+i)d}$
$\vdots$						
$k+n-1$	$\beta_{0,k+(n-1)d}$	...	$\beta_{N-n,k+(N-1)d}$	$\beta_{N-n+1,k+Nd}$	...	$\beta_{N-1,k+(N+n-2)d}$

In the next theorem we prove that those are the only possible non-zero Betti numbers, that is there are at most n rows. (This gives a bound on the regularity.)

Theorem 3.5. *The* compact *Betti diagram of $S_{n,d,k}$ has at most n rows.*

Proof. We want to prove that for all $j \geq 0$, $\beta_{j,k+(n+j)d}(S_{n,d,k}) = 0$. Using Theorem 3.1 we know that

$$\beta_{j,k+(n+j)d}(S_{n,d,k}) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{j-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle n+j-1\rangle}, \mathbf{k}).$$

We observe that $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{j-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle n+j-1\rangle}, \mathbf{k}) = \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{j-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{k})$ and we apply Paul's duality:

$$\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{j-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle n+j-1\rangle}, \mathbf{k}) \cong \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-n-j-1}(\Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}}, \mathbf{k}).$$

Finally $\dim \Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}} < N-n-j-1$, because the total degree of a $(N-n-j-1)$-dimensional face F, $|F| = (N-n-j)d$ is always strictly greater that $|\hat{\mathbf{c}}| = |\mathbf{t}| - |\mathbf{c}| - n = Nd - k - nd - jd - n = (N-n-j)d - k - n$. □

Let us apply again Theorem 3.1 to characterize the Cohen-Macaulayness of $S_{n,d,k}$.

Theorem 3.6. *The Veronese module $S_{n,d,k}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $k < d$. Moreover if $S_{n,d,k}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay, then it has maximal projective dimension,* $\operatorname{pdim} S_{n,d,k} = N-1$.

Proof. We know that $N - n \leq \operatorname{pdim} S_{n,d,k} \leq N-1$. We are going to show that if $k < d$ then $\operatorname{pdim} S_{n,d,k} = N-n$ and if $k \geq d$ then $\operatorname{pdim} S_{n,d,k} = N-1$.

Let $k < d$. We want to prove that $\beta_{N-n+1,k+(N-n+1+i)d} = 0$ for all i. For simplicity let $\alpha = (N-n+1+i)$ and $|\mathbf{c}| = k + d\alpha$. We note that $\dim \Gamma_{\mathbf{c}} < \alpha$ and thus $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle \alpha-1 \rangle} = \Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$. Using Theorem 3.1, we know that

$$\beta_{N-n+1,\mathbf{c}}(S_{n,d,k}) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-n}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle N-n+i \rangle}, \mathbf{k}) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-n}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{k}). \quad (5)$$

Applying (1), we get

$$\beta_{N-n+1,\mathbf{c}}(S_{n,d,k}) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-n}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{k}) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{-2}(\Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}}, \mathbf{k}) = 0.$$

Now we prove that if $k \geq d$ then $\operatorname{pdim} S_{n,d,k} = N-1$. To prove our statement, it is enough to show that $\beta_{N-1,k+(N-1)d}(S_{n,d,k}) \neq 0$. Since

$$\beta_{N-1,k+(N-1)d}(S_{n,d,k}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{c}|=k+(N-1)d} \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-2}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle N-2 \rangle}, \mathbf{k}),$$

it is sufficient to prove that for some $\bar{\mathbf{c}}$, $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-2}(\Gamma_{\bar{\mathbf{c}}}^{\langle N-2 \rangle}, \mathbf{k}) \neq 0$.

Let $|\mathbf{c}| = k + (N-1)d$ and assume for simplicity that $|\mathbf{c}|$ is a multiple of n. Consider the case $\bar{\mathbf{c}} = (\frac{k+(N-1)d}{n}, \ldots, \frac{k+(N-1)d}{n})$. Then $\Gamma_{\bar{\mathbf{c}}}^{\langle N-2 \rangle}$ is the boundary of an $N-1$ dimensional simplex. Indeed, we denote by F_i the face of cardinality $N-1$ over the N vertices with $a_i = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ missing: $\deg F_i = (\frac{(N-1)d}{n} - i_1, \ldots, \frac{(N-1)d}{n} - i_n)$. Since $\frac{k+(N-1)d}{n} \geq \frac{(N-1)d}{n} \geq \frac{(N-1)d}{n} - i_j$, $F_i \in \Gamma_{\bar{\mathbf{c}}}^{\langle N-2 \rangle}$ for any i.

If $|\mathbf{c}|$ is not a multiple of n, write $|\mathbf{c}| = mn + s$ and prove (in a similar way) that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}^*}^{\langle N-2 \rangle}$ is the boundary of an $N-1$ dimensional simplex with $\mathbf{c}^* = m\mathbf{1} + (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ and $\sum_j s_j = s$, $0 \leq s_j \leq 1$. □

Remark 3.7. *The equalities in (5) hold for all $i \geq 1$ also for $k \geq d$. This follows from the fact that for $i > 0$ it is always true that $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-n}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle N-n+i \rangle}, \mathbf{k}) = \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{N-n}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{k})$. Instead, for $i = 0$, $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$ could not be the same of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle N-n \rangle}$. This implies that, for $k \geq d$, $\beta_{i,k+id}(S_{n,d,k}) \neq 0$ for $i = 0, \ldots, N-n+1$.*

3.1 Pseudo-Linearity of $S_{n,d,k}$

By studying the dimension of the pile simplicial complex one also obtains a sufficient condition for the pseudo-linearity of the resolution.

Theorem 3.8. *If $k > d(n-1) - n$, then the Veronese module $S_{n,d,k}$ has* pseudo-linear *resolution.*

Proof. We want to show that $\beta_{i,k+(i+1)d}(S_{n,d,k}) = 0$ for all i. Let $|\mathbf{c}| = k + (i+1)d$. We know that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{i,\mathbf{c}} &= \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{i-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle i \rangle}, \mathbf{k}) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{i-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{k}) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{(N-n)-i-1}(\Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}}, \mathbf{k}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $|\hat{\mathbf{c}}| = Nd - k - id - d - n$.

If $k > d(n-1)-n$, then $\dim \Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}} < (N-n)-i-1$, because a $(N-n-i-1)$-dimensional face F has total degree $|F| = Nd - nd - id > |\hat{\mathbf{c}}|$. □

Example 3.9. *For $S_{3,3,3}$ we have that $k = d(n-1)-n$ and using* `Macaulay2` *[9] for calculating the Betti numbers we see that the resolution is not even pure.*

```
        0  1   2   3   4   5   6  7  8 9
total: 10 72 225 399 441 315 147 46 10 1
    3: 10  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
    4:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
    5:  . 72   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
    6:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
    7:  .  . 225   .   .   .   .  .  . .
    8:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
    9:  .  .   . 399   .   .   .  .  . .
   10:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
   11:  .  .   .   . 441   .   .  .  . .
   12:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
   13:  .  .   .   .   . 315   .  .  . .
   14:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
   15:  .  .   .   .   .   . 147  .  . .
   16:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
   17:  .  .   .   .   .   .   . 45  . .
   18:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . .
   19:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  . 10 .
   20:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  1  . .
   21:  .  .   .   .   .   .   .  .  . 1
```

Example 3.10. *The condition in the theorem above is satisfied for $S_{3,3,4}$, thus we have a pseudo-linear resolution.*

```
       0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7  8 9
total: 15 114 381 735 903 735 399 141 30 3
    4: 15   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  . .
    5:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  . .
    6:  . 114   .   .   .   .   .   .  . .
    7:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  . .
    8:  .   . 381   .   .   .   .   .  . .
    9:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  . .
   10:  .   .   . 735   .   .   .   .  . .
   11:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  . .
   12:  .   .   .   . 903   .   .   .  . .
```

```
13:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  .
14:  .   .   .   .   . 735   .   .   .  .
15:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  .
16:  .   .   .   .   .   . 399   .   .  .
17:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  .
18:  .   .   .   .   .   .   . 141   .  .
19:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  .
20:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  30  .
21:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  .
22:  .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .   .  3
```

Corollary 3.11. *If $k \geq d-1$, then the Veronese module $S_{2,d,k}$ has* pseudo-linear *resolution. Moreover, in this case we have that*

$$\beta_{i,k+id}(S_{2,d,k}) = |i-(k+1)|\binom{d}{i}.$$

Proof. For Theorem 3.8 we know that the first part of the statement holds. Using Corollary 2.3, we know the h-polynomial of $S_{2,d,k}$ and, since the resolution is pure, this gives the Betti numbers. □

Corollary 3.12. *If $k \geq 2d-2$, then the Veronese module $S_{3,d,k}$ has* pseudo-linear *resolution. Moreover, in this case we have that*

$$\beta_{i,k+id}(S_{3,d,k}) = \left|\frac{1}{2}\left(a\binom{N-3}{i} - (b-2a)\binom{N-3}{i-1} + (a-c)\binom{N-3}{i-2}\right)\right|,$$

where a, b, c are defined in Corollary 2.4.

Using the Eagon-Northcott resolution, it is well known that $\mathbf{k}[x,y]^{(d)}$ has a linear resolution. We provide another proof for this fact:

Corollary 3.13. *The resolution of the Veronese subring $\mathbf{k}[x,y]^{(d)}$ is* linear.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{k}[x,y]^{(d)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, it is enough to show that $\beta_{d-1,(d+1)d}$. By using (1), $\beta_{d-1,(d+1)d} = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d-2}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{k}) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{-1}(\Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}}, \mathbf{k}) = 0$, since $|\hat{\mathbf{c}}| = -2$. □

In general, we are able to say that the resolution of $S_{n,d,k}$ is always pseudo-linear in the first step. In particular this is true for the canonical module of $S^{(d)}$. The Betti number $\beta_{0,i}(M)$ of a graded module M gives the number of generators of M in degree i. Thus $\beta_0(S_{n,d,k}) = \beta_{0,k}(S_{n,d,k}) = \binom{k+n-1}{k}$.
In the following, we will prove that $\beta_1(S_{n,d,k}) = \beta_{1,k+d}(S_{n,d,k})$.

Definition 3.14. *Given two vectors $v, w \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we say that v is obtained from w with an* elementary move *if $v = w + (e_i - e_j)$, where e_i denotes the standard vector in $\mathbb{N}^n$.*

Proposition 3.15. *The pile simplicial complexes $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$ are connected for $|\mathbf{c}| = k + id$ for $i > 1$, $k \neq 0$.*

Proof. We remark that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle 0 \rangle}$ is the set of vertices of the pile simplicial complex. Given two vertices in $v, w \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle 0 \rangle}$ one gets w from v by a finite number of elementary moves and this implies that the *graph of elementary moves* on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle 0 \rangle}$ is connected.
Therefore, it is enough to prove if $v, w \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle 0 \rangle}$ such that $v = w + (e_j - e_l)$, then there exists $u \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle 0 \rangle}$ such that $\{v, u\}$ and $\{w, u\}$ are edges of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$.

For simplicity, set $i = 2$, $j = 1$ and $l = 2$, i.e. $v = w + (1, -1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Such u should respect the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} u_i + w_i &\leq c_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n. \\ u_i + v_i &\leq c_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

but $v_1 = w_1 + 1$ and so the sufficient constrains are

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 + w_1 &< c_1, \\ u_i + w_i &\leq c_i,\ 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $u_i = c_i - w_i$, $2 \leq i \leq n$, and $u_1 = d - \sum_2^n u_i$: note that $u_1 = c_1 - k - id + d - w_1$, so $u_1 + w_1 = c_1 + d(2-i) - k < c_1$, when $k \neq 0$ and $i \geq 2$. This choice does not work in case $u_1 < 0$, that is $d + w_2 + \cdots + w_n < c_2 + \cdots + c_n$. In this circumstance, we set $u_1 = 0$, $u_i = \min\{d - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} u_j, c_i - w_i\}$ and $u_n = d - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j$, and this vector u satisfies the inequalities above. Namely, it can happen that $u_j = c_j - w_j$, for $2 \leq j < i$, and $u_i = d - u_1 - \cdots - u_{i-1}$, then in this case $u_{i+1} = \cdots = u_n = 0$, and the inequalities are satisfied. The other case is when $u_j = c_j - w_j$ for $2 \leq j < n-1$ and $u_n = d - u_1 - \cdots - u_n$, then $u_n + w_n = d + w_2 + \cdots + w_n - c_2 - \cdots - c_{n-1} < c_n$, and the other inequalities are also trivially satisfied. □

Corollary 3.16. *If $k \geq 0$, then $\beta_{1,k+d}(S_{n,d,k}) \neq 0$ and that $\beta_{1,k+id}(S_{n,d,k}) = 0$, for all $i > 1$.*

Proof. The statement follows using Theorem 3.1 and from the previous proposition applied to $\beta_{1,k+id}(S_{n,d,k})$. □

Corollary 3.17. *For $k \neq 0$, the first step of the resolution of $S_{n,d,k}$ is pseudo-linear, and the first three entries of the Betti table, $\beta_{0,k}, \beta_{1,k+d}$ and $\beta_{2,k+2d}$, can be then determined with the Hilbert series.*

4 A conjecture on the resolution of $S_{2,d,k}$

Let us consider the Veronese modules $S_{2,d,k}$. By Theorem 3.6 these modules are Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $k < d$. In this section, we are going to conjecture a complete description of their Betti diagram, namely that they have pure resolutions.

Conjecture 4.1. *If $k < d$, the Veronese module $S_{2,d,k}$ has pure resolution and the Betti table is:*

	0	1	...	k	$k+1$	...	$d-1$
k	$\beta_{0,k}$	$\beta_{1,k+d}$	...	$\beta_{k,k+kd}$	0	...	0
$k+1$	0	0	...	0	$\beta_{k+1,k+(k+2)d}$	...	$\beta_{d-1,k+(d+1)d}$

Moreover, $\beta_i(S_{2,d,k}) = |i-(k+1)|\binom{d}{i}$.

We prove this in some cases. Moreover it has been verified on `Macaulay2` for for any k and $d \leq 12$.

Using this conjecture and Corollary 3.11 we provide the complete Betti tables of the Veronese modules in two variables.

Theorem 4.2. *The previous conjecture is true for $k = 0, 1, d-2, d-1$.*

Proof. For $k = 0$, the statement is proved in Corollary 3.13. For $k = 1$, the statement is proved in Corollary 3.16.

Using Proposition 2.3, we know that the alternating sum of the Betti numbers $\beta_{k+1,k+(k+1)d}$ and $\beta_{k,k+(k+1)d}$ is zero. Since $S_{2,d,k}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then it is enough to prove that $\beta_{k+1,k+(k+1)d} = 0$.

Hence, let $|\mathbf{c}| = k + (k+1)d$, then $\beta_{k+1,\mathbf{c}} = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_k(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}^{\langle k \rangle}, \mathbf{k})$. We note that $\dim \Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}} \leq k$ and so $\beta_{k+1,\mathbf{c}} = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_k(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{k})$.
Applying Paul's duality, one gets $\beta_{k+1,\mathbf{c}} = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d-k-3}(\Gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}}, \mathbf{k})$, where $|\hat{\mathbf{c}}| = d(d-k)-k-2$. If $k = d-1$, we are just computing $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{-2}$. Instead, if $k = d-2$, $|\hat{\mathbf{c}}| = d$ and we consider $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{-1}$ of a simplicial complex that always contains at least a vertex. □

5 Applications in three and four variables

In this section we calculate the Betti tables of the Veronese rings, $S_{3,4,0}$, $S_{3,5,0}$ and $S_{4,3,0}$, using the knowledge of the h-polynomial (see Corollary 2.4) and the regularity (see Theorem 3.5). By duality, these give also the Betti tables of their canonical modules, $S_{3,4,1}$, $S_{3,5,2}$ and $S_{4,3,2}$.
The number of rows of the Betti tables for these Veronese rings and modules is always three. By using `Macaulay2`, we are able to compute the first *row* of the Betti table of $S_{3,4,1}$, $S_{3,5,2}$ and $S_{4,3,2}$. Finally, by knowing the h-polynomial of $S_{3,4,0}$, $S_{3,5,0}$ and $S_{4,3,0}$, we derive all their Betti numbers.

The Betti table of $S_{3,4,0}$. The first row of the Betti table of $S_{3,4,1}$ is:

```
            0  1  2
total:      3 24 55
    1:      3  .  .
    2:      .  .  .
    3:      .  .  .
    4:      . 24  .
    5:      .  .  .
    6:      .  .  .
    7:      .  . 55
```

We compute the h-polynomial of $S_{3,4,0}$ using Corollary 2.3:

$$h(z) = 1 - 75\,z^2 + 536\,z^3 - 1947\,z^4 + 4488\,z^5 - 7095\,z^6 + 7920\,z^7$$
$$-6237\,z^8 + 3344\,z^9 - 1089\,z^{10} + 120\,z^{11} + 55\,z^{12} - 24\,z^{13} + 3\,z^{14}.$$

Hence the compact Betti table of $S_{3,4,0}$ is:

```
            0  1    2     3     4     5     6     7     8     9    10  11 12
        0:  1  .    .     .     .     .     .     .     .     .    .   .  .
        1:  . 75  536  1947  4488  7095  7920  6237  3344  1089  120   .  .
        2:  .  .    .     .     .     .     .     .     .     .   55  24  3
```

The Betti table of $S_{3,5,0}$. The first row of the Betti table of $S_{3,5,2}$, in the compact form, is:

```
            0   1    2     3     4     5  6
        2:  6  90  595  2160  4200  2002  .
```

The h-polynomial of $S_{3,5,0}$ using Corollary 2.3 is:

$$h(z) = 1 - 165z^2 + 1830z^3 - 10710z^4 + 41616z^5 - 117300z^6 + 250920z^7 - 417690\,z^8 + 548080\,z^9 - 568854\,z^{10}$$
$$+464100\,z^{11} - 291720\,z^{12} + 134640\,z^{13} - 39780\,z^{14} + 2856\,z^{15} + 3825\,z^{16} - 2160\,z^{17} + 595\,z^{18} - 90\,z^{19} + 6\,z^{20}.$$

The following is the compact Betti table of $S_{3,5,0}$.

```
   0   1     2  ...      12     13    14    15    16   17 18
0: 1   .     .    .       .      .     .     .     .    .  .
1: . 165  1830  ...  134640  39780  4858   375     .    .  .
2: .   .     .    .       .   2002  4200  2160   595   90  6
```

The Betti table of $S_{4,3,0}$. First of all, since $S_{4,3,0}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we prove that $\beta_{16,19\cdot 3} = 0$. Indeed, using (1),

$$\beta_{16,57} = \sum_{|\mathbf{c}|=57} \dim \tilde{H}_{15}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{c}|=-1} \dim \tilde{H}_{-1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}) = 0.$$

These implies that the compact form of the Betti table has only three rows. In this case, we compute the h-polynomial of $S_{4,3,0}$ by differentiating ${}^{z^3}/_{(1-z^3)}$ (see Corollary 2.2) and by multiplying the numerator by $(1-z^3)^{16}$:

$$1 - 126\,z^2 + 1200\,z^3 - 5940\,z^4 + 19152\,z^5 - 43680\,z^6 + 73008\,z^7 - 90090\,z^8 + 80080\,z^9$$
$$-46332\,z^{10} + 9360\,z^{11} + 12012\,z^{12} - 15120\,z^{13} + 9360\,z^{14} - 3696\,z^{15} + 945\,z^{16} - 144\,z^{17} + 10\,z^{18}.$$

The first *line* of the compact Betti diagram of $S_{4,3,2}$ is:

```
   0    1    2     3     4      5      6     7     8    9  10
1: 10  144  945  3696  9360  15120  14003  5400  1650  220   .
```

Finally the Betti numbers of $S_{4,3,0}$ are:

```
   0   1  ...      6      7      8      9      10     11    12    13   14   15  16
0: 1   .  ...      .      .      .      .       .      .     .     .    .    .   .
1: . 126  ...  73008  90090  80300  47982   14760   1991     .     .    .    .   .
2: .   .  ...      .    220   1650   5400   14003  15120  9360  3696  945  144  10
```

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank Ralf Fröberg, for his great support and useful suggestions. We are grateful to Mats Boij for his help, especially in the use of Macaulay 2.

Some computations in this paper were performed by using Maple(TM); Maple is a trademark of Waterloo Maple Inc.

References

[1] J. Backelin and A. Oneto, *On a class of power ideals.* `http://people.su.se/~aonet/Research.html`.

[2] F. Brenti and V. Welker, *The Veronese construction for formal power series and graded algebras*, Adv. in Appl. Math., 42 (2009), pp. 545–556.

[3] W. Bruns, A. Conca, and T. Römer, *Koszul homology and syzygies of Veronese subalgebras*, Math. Ann., 351 (2011), pp. 761–779.

[4] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, vol. 39 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[5] ——, *Semigroup rings and simplicial complexes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 122 (1997), pp. 185–208.

[6] L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld, *Asymptotic syzygies of algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math., 190 (2012), pp. 603–646.

[7] D. Eisenbud and S. Goto, *Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity*, J. Algebra, 88 (1984), pp. 89–133.

[8] S. Goto and K. Watanabe, *On graded rings. I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 30 (1978), pp. 179–213.

[9] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry.* Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

[10] S. B. Iyengar, G. J. Leuschke, A. Leykin, C. Miller, E. Miller, A. K. Singh, and U. Walther, *Twenty-four hours of local cohomology*, vol. 87 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

[11] G. Ottaviani and R. Paoletti, *Syzygies of Veronese embeddings*, Compositio Math., 125 (2001), pp. 31–37.

[12] S. Paul, *A duality theorem for syzygies of Veronese ideals of weighted projective space.* arXiv: 1311.5653v1.

[13] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial algebras*, vol. 238 of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2001.

Ornella Greco
Department of Mathematics, Royal Institute of Technology, S-10044 Stockholm, Sweden.
E-mail address: ogreco@kth.se

Ivan Martino
Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden.
E-mail address: martino@math.su.se